

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/776,870	02/11/2004	Juri Heinrich Krieger	H2016CIP	3885
23623 7:	590 07/19/2005		EXAMINER	
AMIN & TUROCY, LLP			PHAN, TRONG Q	
1900 EAST 91. 24TH FLOOR,	H STREET, NATIONAL	CITY CENTER	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CLEVELAND, OH 44114			2827	
			DATE MAILED: 07/19/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Comments	10/776,870	KRIEGER ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	TRONG PHAN	2827					
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet	t with the correspondence ad	dress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meaned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may n. a reply within the statutory minimum of eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) N tatute, cause the application to become	y a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely MONTHS from the mailing date of this or BABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	11 February 2004.						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑	This action is non-final.	·					
3) Since this application is in condition for all	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice und	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims		·					
4) Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.			•				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exar	niner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to			·				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by th	e Examiner. Note the attac	hed Office Action or form P1	O-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority docun							
2. Certified copies of the priority docum		• •	2 4				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	•	een received in this National	Stage				
application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a		not received					
Jee the attached detailed Office action for a		not roomfou.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ew Summary (PTO-413)					
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	/ <u> </u>	No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTC	1.152)				
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/St Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>0204</u>. 	6) Other:		,				
	· · ·		•				

Application/Control Number: 10/776,870 Page 2

Art Unit: 2827

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description: Ai in Fig. 1; W in Fig. 4; Eo in Fig. 8. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d), or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(b) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to

which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

It is not understood how the generator and the ballast resistor as recited in claim 2 can be incorporated with the comparator as recited in claim 1. Since Fig. 2 of the present invention does show the system including only comparator 224; Fig. 3 of the present invention does show the system include only generator 320 and ballast resistor 360; and Fig. 6a does show the system include only ballast resistor.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-22 are, insofar as understood, rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brug et al., 6,424,565.

Brug et al., 6,424,565, discloses in Fig. 4 a system that program a plurality of memory cells 40-50;

wherein each of memory comprising: first bottom electrode 20-24; second top electrode 26-28 as shown in Fig. 1; functional layer 60-64, as shown in Figs.2A-B, formed over first bottom electrode 20-24 to facilitate charge migration (see lines 33-40, column 3); control component comprising comparator 160, as shown in Fig. 4, for comparing the the read voltage of the memory cell with a reference voltage to equalize the potentials of

Application/Control Number: 10/776,870

Art Unit: 2827

first bottom electrode 20-24 for determining the its logic state (see lines 1-9, column 3 and 6-37, column 6);

photo-resist layer 80 as shown in Fig. 3b;

a diode structure 180-182 as shown in Fig. 5 (see lines 38-56, column 6).

What is not shown in Brug et al., 6,424,565, is the limitation as recited in claim 2.

Since the claimed invention does not clearly define the control component comprising the combination of the comparator, the generator and the ballast resistor as being rejected under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, as set forth above, therefore, claim 2 would have been also rendered obvious under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brug et al., 6,424,565.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Lopatin et al.,6,900,488, Tripsas et al., 6,870,183., Sharma et al., 6,885,573, and Van Brocklin et al., 6,839,275.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TRONG PHAN whose telephone number is (571) 272-1794. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, HOAI HO can be reached on (571)272-1777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/776,870 Page 5

Art Unit: 2827

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TRONG PHAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER